App I IX 4

Previous References
Mll-42-22,28,47,48 Municipal 1944

File No 1-44
Serial Nos 24, 25

Later References

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos Two

(13)

Part B for Jan '45

SUBJECT

Sanction of arrears of increment to Subordinate clerks of Delhi Municipality

The D.C. Bose
Comptroller
L 7644-5 dated 31/1/45
Sanctioning

12/2

2418/L

Letter No M6-155/42-43 dt 7.10.42 from the Dy Commr Bellary requesting sanction to the drawal of increments to the clerk Puttaiyya of Yelahanka Taluk

1) In the Office letter No L 4318/A 20 11-43 the proposal of the Dy Commr Bellary to give clerk Puttaiah arrears increments from 1-7-1937 was turned down as the Official was put under suspension between 16-8-1938 to 5-9-1938 a period of 20 days

2) It is, in the circumstances recommended that, as the Official has earned increments from 5-9-1938 having rendered uninterrupted service ~~he may be given~~ five increments in the grade of Rs 20 1-25, the first increment commencing from the 5th Sept. 1937.

20/11 3) The question of the emoluments may be decided suitably in this

[illegible] 20/11

4) Forwarded with Compliments to the Controller of Government Accounts for favour of furnishing opinion in the matter

Asst Secy 20/11

Under Secy to Govt Finance Dept 21/11

22-11-44

Dy Secy to Govt Finance Dept 22/11

Controller of Government Accounts Bangalore

Dy Secy to Govt Finance Dept 21 NOV 1944

Controller's Office Bangalore Central Local Audit 23 NOV 1944

Govt of Mysore 21 Dy Secy Finance Dept 1944

2

362/Sgl. 6-12-44

U O No 192/CLAD dated 4-12-44

Returned with compliments

The official was appointed to the grade of 20-1-25 on Rs 20/- from -1st July 1937 and in the ordinary course he should have got his increments from the 1st July of every year but for his suspension for 20 days from 16-8-38 to 4-9-44 which ordinarily has the effect of postponing the second and subsequent increments only by 20 days as observed in my U O reply No 323/Spl dated 6-10-43 It is not clear why his previous service in the grade from 1-7-37 to 15-8-38 is also excluded in the increment certificate and increment recommended by the Deputy Commissioner only from 5-9-39 Unless there be any special grounds for disqualifying the previous service, there is no objection to grant the five increments from 1-7-38, 21-7-40, 21-7-41 and from 21-7-42 respectively by condonation of the delay in preferring the claim The circumstance may if deemed necessary be further elicited from the Deputy Commissioner

SECY LAW DEPT 4571 GOVT OF MYSORE

Deputy Comptroller for Comptr

CV

SECY LAW DEPT 462 GOVT OF MYSORE

L-571

4370/4
3

Letter No A 6 1515/42-43 dt 28 12 42 from the DC Akola sent forwarding the petition of collector

(1) Clerk Pallicha of the Yelahanka Taluk Municipality was appointed to the grade of Rs 20-1-25 on Rs 20/- (PT) from 1 7 1937 But he was kept under suspension from period of 20 days from 16-8-1938 to 4-9-38

(2) The proposal now is to grant to him additional increments from the date of his appointment to the grade, deducting of course period of 20 days during which he was kept under suspension & time he was by the Corporation of the delay in preferring the claim

Five increments are due to him from 1-7-38, 21-7-40, 21-7-41 and from 21-7-42

(12) The applicant has no objection to the grant of 5 increments (vide para 6 supra) as stated above & he also recommends to the same effect

(13) No special grounds have been advanced for departure from the previous course

4

4370/L

14) As the Dy Director is agreeable to the sanction of the Competent Authority for increments due to the Official in the grade of Rs 20-1-25 from 1.7.38, 1.7.39 (pertaining to the period of leave granted to him), 1.7.40, 1.7.41 & 1.7.42 may be granted to him. The delay in preferring the claim may not be condoned as pointed out by the Competent Authority.

15) The expenditure will be debited to the closing balance of the relevant minor head of the current year (22574).

Accounts Officer 19/11/45

Sanctioned as recommended by the Competent Authority

Sd/- [illegible]
22/11/45

Sd/- [illegible]
Dy Director of Supplies

Sd/- [illegible]
23/11

Sd/- [illegible]
Director of Supplies

Sd/- [illegible]
23/11/45

Sd/- [illegible]
Director of Supplies

B

Sd/- [illegible]
24/11

1 OCT 1944 GOVT OF MYSORE

OFFICE OF THE DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
Bangalore District,

No M 6-155/42-43

Bangalore dated the 7th October 1944

24187/L

8 OCT 1944 LSGD

From

Mohammed Ismail Sheriff, Esqr, B A ,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
BANGALORE

To

C Narayanaswamy Naidu, Esq, B A , B L ,
Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Law Department,
BANGALORE

Sir,

Subject - Sanction of arrears increments to the Clerk Puttaiyya of Yelahanka Minor Municipality

Adverting to your letter No L 4318/Ml 1-42-48 dated 20th October 1943 (copy enclosed in the file for ready reference) on the above subject, I have the honour to state that the period spent under suspension has now been omitted while calculating the period required to earn the increments It is seen from the Service Register of the above Clerk that he has put in un-interrupted qualified service since 5th September 1938 Hence five increments are due to him in the grade of Rs 20-1-25, the first increment commencing from 5th September 1939 Accordingly the increment certificate raising his pay to Rs 25/- per mensem from 5th September 1943 is prepared and herewith sent for favour of sanction In the circumstances detailed in this Office letter No M 6-155/42-43 dated 11th November 1942 (copy enclosed in the file), I request that sanction of Government may be kindly obtained to the above increment The connected records and the Service Register of the Official are enclosed for favour of reference.

The entire expenditure on this account will be met out of the Closing Balance of the Municipality for the year 1944-45

I have the honour to be,
S i r,
Ypur most obedient servant,

I Sheriff

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

General and Revenue Secretariat

No L-5710 *Dated Bangalore* 11th December 1944

FROM

The Secretary to the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
Law Department

To

The Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District, Bangalore

Sir,

Subject:- Sanction of arrears of increments to clerk Puttaiyya of Yelahanka Miror Municipality

---

With reference to your letter No M6-155/42-43, dated the 7th October 1944 on the above subject, I am directed to state that the official was appointed to the grade of Rs 20-1-25 on Rs 20/- from the 1st July 1937 and in the ordinary course he should have got his increments from the 1st July of every year but for his suspension for 20 days from the 16th August 1938 to the 4th September 1938 which ordinarily has the effect of postponing the second and subsequent increments only by 20 days. It is not clear why his previous service in the grade from the 1st July 1937 to the 15th August 1938 is also excluded in the increment certificate and increment recommended by you only from the 5th September 1939 I am also to enquire whether there are any special grounds for disqualifying the previous service of this official as is now

now done as stated above

The records accompany for reference and retransmission

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Secretary to Government,

Law Department

KRS/2

"Sanction of arrears of increments to Clerk

L-5710 Puttaraya of Yelahanka Town Municipal

2

11/12/44

The Dy
Bldg Dept

With Ref to your letter No TB-155/42-43
dy 2 to cy on the above subject, I am
directed to state that the Official was
appointed to the Grade of Rs 20-1-25 on Rs 20/-
from 1st July 1937 and in the ordinary course
he should have got his increments from
the 1st July of every year. But for his
suspension for 20 days from 16-8-38 to
4-9-38 which ordinarily has the effect of
postponing the second and subsequent
increments only by 20 days ~~and~~
It is not clear why his services in the Grade
from 1-7-37 to 15-8-38 is also excluded in
the increment certificate and increment
recommended by ~~the~~ you only from
5-9-39 I am to enquire whether there are
~~unless there are~~ any special
grounds for excluding the previous
service, if any. As it is now ~~proposed~~ to grant
~~the~~ ~~face~~ increments from 1-7-1938
21-7-40, 21-7-41 and from 21-7-42 ~~respectively~~
~~respectively by condonation~~ of the delay

L6 155/42-43
Enclosure one file

MH 1-44-24

Office of the Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Dated Bangalore the 28th December 194

From

Mahomed Ismail Sheriff, Esq , B A ,
Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District,
Bangalore

To

C Narayanaswamy Naidu, Esq , B A , B L ,
Legal Remembrancer and Secretary to the
Government of His Highness the Maharaja
of Mysore, B a n g a l o r e

Sir,

Subject Sanction of arrears of increments to Clerk Puttiaya of Yelhanka Municipality

With reference to your letter No L 5710 dated the 11th December 1944 on the above subject, I have the honour to state that a recommendation was originally made for the sanction of increments to the above official in the grade Rs 20-1-25 from the 1st July 1937 (vide this Office letter No L6 155/42-43 dated the 11th November 1942) This proposal was not approved by Government, as the Deputy Commissioner's order directing the reinstatement of the official did not specifically mention that the period spent under suspension (16th August 1938 to 4th September 1938) by the above official shall count towards increment Hence revised proposals were submitted to Government for sanction of increments only from the 5th September 1938 There are however no special grounds for disqualifying the previous service of this official from 1st July 1937 to the 15th August 1938 for earning increment The second and subsequent increments will be postponed by 20 days by the above suspension order The enclosed increment certificate is accordingly amended and sent herewith

2 I request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to he increment due to the official

The connected records accompany

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

Deputy Commissioner,
Bangalore District

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE

Read -

Correspondence ending with letter No. C 155/42-43 dated the 23rd December 1944, from the Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, recommending sanction to give five increments to clerk Puttiah of the Yelahanka Minor Municipal Office in the grade of Rs 20-1-25 with effect from 1st July 1938, 21st July 1939 (putting off the increment by twenty days on account of suspension), 21st July 1940, 21st July 1941 and 21-7-1942 respectively and to condone the delay on the part of the official in preferring the claim, the expenditure in this behalf being met out of the closing balance of the municipality for the current year

2. Ur-official No. 192/LJD, 942/Sol dated 5th December 1944, from the Comptroller to Government furnishing his opinion in the matter

---

ORDER No. L 7644-5 M-1-44-25
Dated, Bangalore, the 31st January 1945

Sanctioned

Secretary to Government,
Law Department.

To Deputy Commissioner, Bangalore District, with records.
Comptroller to Government of Mysore

RB

G R S App F VI—G 2]

SUBJECT

Do

Diary No of letter replied to

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

the *193*

Date of issue 31-1- 1945

Signed by Mr S Rao

May this be—

(i) printed? first movement of

(ii) treated as a standing order?

(iii) published in the Gazette English Part?

(iv) translated?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press?

(vi) published in the Gazette Kannada Part?

Section M

File No 1-44

Serial No 23

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore

Read—

Correspondence ending with letter no M6 155/42-43 dt 28.12.44 from the Director of Mines and Geology requesting Government to give Clarke Putlicha Yelahanka Minor Mineral Office ~~for~~ ~~increment~~ ~~of~~ ~~one~~ ~~than~~ ~~Order thereon No~~ in the grade of Rs 20-1-25 with effect from 1.7.38, 21.7.39 (crediting off the increment by twenty days on account of suspension), 21.7.40, 21.7.41 and 21.7.42 and to condone the delay on the part of the Official in preferring the claim, the enquiry

17

expenditure in this behalf being met out of the closing balance of the [illegible] in the current year

2) No 192/CLAD, 362/Spl of 5 12 44 from the Comptroller to Govt furnishing his opinion in the matter

Order No. 17644-5
Sanctioned

[illegible]
for Secy to Govt. [illegible]
[illegible] 23/1

do [illegible]
[illegible] Comptroller

List of Enclosures

Copied by [illegible] 24/1/45
Examined by

pages 1–13